

1 John P. Bovich (SBN 150688)  
 2 Email: [jbovich@reedsmith.com](mailto:jbovich@reedsmith.com)  
 3 Douglas H. Riegelhuth (SBN 166686)  
 4 Email: [driegelhuth@reedsmith.com](mailto:driegelhuth@reedsmith.com)  
 REED SMITH LLP  
 Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 2000  
 San Francisco, CA 94111-3922

5 **Mailing Address:**

6 P.O. Box 7936  
 7 San Francisco, CA 94120-7936  
 Telephone: 415 543 8700  
 Facsimile: 415 391 8269

8 DOUGLAS W. HALL (*Pro Hac Vice Application Pending*)

9 Email: [dhall@fordharrison.com](mailto:dhall@fordharrison.com)  
 Ford & Harrison LLP  
 10 1300 Nineteenth Street, N.W., Suite 700  
 Washington, DC 20036  
 Telephone: 202.719.2065  
 Facsimile: 202.719.2077

11 Attorneys for Defendant  
 SKYWEST AIRLINES, INC.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 SKYWEST PILOTS ALPA ORGANIZING  
 15 COMMITTEE, et al.,

16 No.: 3:07-CV-02688-CRB

17 Plaintiffs,

18 **REQUEST TO SHORTEN TIME TO HEAR  
 MOTION TO DISSOLVE TEMPORARY  
 RESTRAINING ORDER**

19 SKYWEST AIRLINES, INC.,

20 Honorable Charles R. Breyer

21 Defendant.

Date: To be determined  
 Time: To be determined  
 Place: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor  
 Compl. Filed: May 22, 2007

22 Honorable Charles R. Breyer

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3 and Standing Order ¶4, Defendant SkyWest  
2 Airlines, Inc. ("SkyWest") respectfully requests that the Court enter an order shortening the time by  
3 which SkyWest's Motion To Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order may be heard and permitting  
4 counsel for SkyWest to appear telephonically. This request is necessary because the Temporary  
5 Restraining Order was entered without prior notice to SkyWest and Plaintiffs have demanded that  
6 SkyWest immediately comply with certain aspects of the TRO – including access to SkyWest's  
7 email system by noon today and the establishment of dedicated bulletin boards throughout  
8 SkyWest's system by 5:00 p.m. "local time" today. [See Declaration of Douglas W. Hall ("Hall  
9 Decl."), Exh. A.]

10 Plaintiffs indicated yesterday and have since confirmed that they have no objection to  
11 counsel for SkyWest appearing telephonically at a hearing on the Motion to Dissolve. [Hall Decl.,  
12 ¶Exh. B.] Thus, the only issue is whether good cause appears to shorten time for hearing of the  
13 Motion to Dissolve. As set forth below, SkyWest would incur substantial harm, including  
14 potentially major changes to its business practices, if the Motion to Dissolve were not heard today.

15 On May 21, 2007, SkyWest learned for the first time that Plaintiffs SkyWest Pilots  
16 ALPA Organizing Committee *et al.* (collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs") was planning to file the  
17 above entitled lawsuit the following day. On May 22, 2007, SkyWest further learned that a  
18 Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") had already been entered against it without any evidentiary  
19 hearing or an opportunity to be heard. [Hall Decl. ¶2.]

20 Substantial harm to SkyWest would occur if this Request was not granted. Among  
21 other things, Plaintiffs may be granted immediate access to SkyWest's proprietary email system.  
22 SkyWest may also be forced to incur unwarranted expenses in both time and money to create  
23 dedicated bulletin boards for Plaintiffs' uses. The harms to SkyWest are compounded because the  
24 TRO was issued without prior notice to SkyWest and without any evidentiary hearing. [Hall Decl. ¶  
25 3.]

26 On the other hand, there is no harm to Plaintiffs if the request to shorten time is  
27 granted. Counsel for Plaintiffs confirmed that they are available at 3 p.m. or thereafter today.  
28 Further, FRCP 65(b) provides that only two days notice is required for a hearing on a motion to

1 dissolve:

2 ...On 2 days' notice to the party who obtained the temporary restraining order without  
 3 notice or on such shorter notice to that party as the court may prescribe, the adverse  
 4 party may appear and move its dissolution or modification and in that event the court  
 5 shall proceed to hear and determine such motion as expeditiously as the ends of  
 6 justice require.

7 [FRCP 65(b).]

8 SkyWest gave Plaintiffs notice of SkyWest's Motion to Dissolve yesterday. Thus,  
 9 this Request only shortens time one day from what is allowed by rule. [Hall Decl. ¶ 5; FRCP 65(b).]  
 10 In addition, counsel for SkyWest initially understood that Plaintiffs had no objection to shortening  
 11 time for a hearing on the Motion to Dissolve, if the Court deemed that a hearing was necessary, so  
 12 long as the hearing was held after 3 p.m. today. [Hall Decl. ¶ 6-8.] Based on that representation,  
 13 James Daire, local counsel for SkyWest, prepared a stipulation and proposed order and sent it to  
 14 Linda Lye, counsel for Plaintiffs, this morning for her review and signature. Thereafter, Ms. Lye  
 15 informed SkyWest that Plaintiffs would not stipulate to shortening time a single day, necessitating  
 16 this Request. Accordingly, it is clear that Plaintiffs face no prejudice from shortened time.

17 Because SkyWest needs to have its Motion To Dissolve heard as soon as possible so  
 18 that it may avoid the substantial hardships of the TRO without any evidentiary hearing, SkyWest's  
 19 Motion to Shorten Time should be granted.

20 DATED: May 23, 2007.  
 21

REED SMITH LLP

23 By \_\_\_\_\_/s/

24 John P. Bovich  
 25 Douglas H. Riegelhuth  
 26 Attorneys for Defendant  
 27 SKYWEST AIRLINES, INC.

28 DOUGLAS W. HALL  
 29 (Pro Hac Vice Application Pending)  
 30 FORD & HARRISON LLP  
 31 1300 Nineteenth Street, N.W., Suite 700  
 32 Washington, DC 20036

1 Telephone: (202) 719-2065  
2 Facsimile: (202) 719-2077

3 Attorneys for Defendant  
4 SKYWEST AIRLINES, INC.

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 REED SMITH LLP  
12 A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28